UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ber. 1450

P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgima 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2008

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

28875 7590 077142008 EXAMINER

Zilka-Kotab, PC
P.O. BOX 721120
ANT JOSE A 59172-1120

AND 105E CA 59172-1120

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILNO DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 100909 721
 0.0143/2002
 Greeney F. James
 NVID9074/9000427
 1906

0/099,721 03/14/2002 Gregory E. James NVIDP074/P000427 1

TITLE OF INVENTION: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CALCULATING PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN A HARDWARE GRAPHICS PIPELINE

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1440	\$0	\$0	\$1440	10/14/2008

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or Fax (571)-273-2885

INSTRUCTIONS: This is appropriate. All further c indicated unless corrected maintenance fee notificati	form should be used for correspondence including d below or directed oth ions.	or tran g the l erwise	smitting the ISSU atent, advance or in Block 1, by (a					nould be completed where correspondence address as rate "FEE ADDRESS" for
CURRENT CORRESPONDE	NCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Bl	ock 1 for:	any change of address)	Fee	e(s) Transmittal. The sers, Each additiona	s certil I paper	icate cannot be used for	r domestic mailings of the or any other accompanying nt or formal drawing, must
Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 9	0	/2008		Th	Cer	tificate	of Mailing or Trans	mission deposited with the United t class mail in an envelope above, or being facsimile at indicated below.
								(Depositor's name)
								(Signature)
				L				(Date)
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/099,721	03/14/2002			Gregory E. James		NVIDP074/P000427 1906		
TITLE OF INVENTION: PIPELINE	SYSTEM AND MET	HOD F	OR CALCULAT	ING PARTIAL DIFFER	ENTIAL EQUATION	ONS IN	A HARDWARE GE	APHICS
APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISS	SUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSU	S FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO		\$1440	\$0	\$0		\$1440	10/14/2008
EXAMI	NER		ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS	1			
GUILL, RU	SSELL L		2123	703-002000	-			
"Fee Address" indic PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 Number is required. 3. ASSIGNEE NAME AN	ondence address (or Cha /122) attached. cation (or "Fee Address' 2 or more recent) attach ND RESIDENCE DATA sess an assignce is identi in 37 CFR 3.11. Comp	nge of 6 ' Indica ed. Use	Correspondence tion form of a Customer E PRINTED ON T		o 3 registered pater wely, le firm (having as a agent) and the nam orneys or agents. If printed. pe) patent. If an assign assignment.	memb es of u no nam	er a 2	ocument has been filed for
Please check the appropris	ate assignee category or	catego	ries (will not be pr	inted on the patent):	Individual Co	orporati	on or other private gro	up entity Government
4a. The following fee(s) are submitted: Issue Fee Publication Fee (No small entity discount permitted) Advance Order - # of Copies			d)	B. Payment of Fee(s): (Please first reapply any previously paid issue fee shown above) A check is enclosed: Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the required fee(s), any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Depoid Account Number (enclose an extra copy of this form).				
	SMALL ENTITY statu	s. See 3	37 CFR 1.27.	b. Applicant is no lo				
interest as shown by the re	Publication Fee (if requeeords of the United Sta	iired) v tes Pate	rill not be accepted int and Trademark	Office.	the applicant; a regi	stered a	ittorney or agent; or th	e assignee or other party in
Authorized Signature _					Date			
Typed or printed name					Registration N	ю		
This collection of informa an application. Confidenti submitting the completed this form and/or suggestio Box 1450, Alexandria, Vir Alexandria, Virginia 2231	tion is required by 37 C iality is governed by 35 application form to the ons for reducing this bur rginia 22313-1450. DC 3-1450.	FR 1.3 U.S.C. USPT den, sh	11. The informatic 122 and 37 CFR O. Time will vary ould be sent to the SEND FEES OR O	on is required to obtain or 1.14. This collection is e- depending upon the indi e Chief Information Offic COMPLETED FORMS T	retain a benefit by t etimated to take 12 to vidual case. Any co- er, U.S. Patent and O THIS ADDRESS	he publ minutes mment Traden i. SENI	tic which is to file (and to complete, includin s on the amount of tir nark Office, U.S. Deps D TO: Commissioner t	by the USPTO to process) g gathering, preparing, and ne you require to complete utment of Commerce, P.O. for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgima 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/099,721	03/14/2002	Gregory E. James	NVIDP074/P000427	1906		
28875 7.	590 07/14/2008		EXAM	UNER		
Zilka-Kotab, PC			GUILL, RUSSELL L			
P.O. BOX 721120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			2123			
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/200	8		

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 833 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 833 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 or (571)-272-4200.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/099,721 JAMES, GREGORY E. Notice of Allowability Examiner Art Unit Russ Guill 2123

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on: All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR RE herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MF	MAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initia
 This communication is responsive to <u>4/7/2008</u>. 	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,2,4,5,7-15,17,18,21-31,34 and 35.	
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 table and by Some* c) None of the Learning of the control of the control of the control of the control of the priority documents have been recommended to the priority documents have been recommended to the priority documents have been recommended to the priority documents and control of the priority documents international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this conted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDOMMENT of THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	eceived. ceived in Application No have been received in this national stage application from the
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. No INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reaso 	
CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be subtained including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patanth () hereto or 2) by the Paper No./Mail Date () including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amenc Paper No./Mail Date () identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) steech stheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be liabeled as such in the header.	nent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached iment / Comment or in the Office action of nould be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of Bi attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR TH 	
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal Patent Application
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	 Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date	7. ☑ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
Evaminar's Comment Regarding Requirement for Denosit	8 M Evaminar's Statement of Pageons for Allowance

of Biological Material

9. Other _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/099,721 Page 2

Art Unit: 2123

EXAMINERS AMENDMENT

 The Examiner would like to thank the Applicant for the very well prepared amendments during the examination process. The Examiner appreciates the effort to carefully analyze the Office actions and make well prepared arguments and amendments.

- 2. An Examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to the applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.
- Authorization for this amendment was given in a telephone interview with Kevin Zilka on June 24, 2008.
- 4. The claims have been amended as follows:
 - a. Claim 26, line 2, the words "pipeline for processing" have been replaced with the words --pipeline processing--.
 - b. Claim 27, line 14, the word "include" is replaced with the word --includes --.
 - c. Claim 31, line 6, the words "the_determining" have been replaced with the words --the determining--.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1 - 2, 4 - 5, 7 - 15, 17 - 18, 21 - 31 and 34 - 35 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/099,721 Page 3

Art Unit: 2123

6. Following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

7. While Press ("Numerical Recipes in Fortran 77", 2001) teaches receiving input; processing the input to generate the solution to the partial differential equation; the processing further includes determining whether the solution has converged; and Rumpf ("Using Graphics Cards for Quantized FEM Computation") teaches receiving input in the hardware graphics pipeline; processing the input to generate the solution to the partial differential equation utilizing the hardware graphics pipeline; generating output utilizing the hardware graphics pipeline for display; the solution to the partial differential equation is generated utilizing the hardware graphics pipeline for enhancing graphics processing operations performed by the hardware graphics pipeline; the graphics processing operations performed by the hardware graphics pipeline are enhanced by determining a location of surfaces or objects for rendering purposes utilizing the solution to the partial differential equation generated utilizing the hardware graphics pipeline; the input includes a local area of textures used to sample a texture map to generate a modified local area of textures; and Burden ("Numerical Analysis") teaches, the determining whether the solution has converged includes calculating errors (page 403, Jacobi iterative algorithm 7.1, step 4, x - XO is calculating an error) and concluding that the solution has converged based on the calculation of the errors (page 403, Jacobi iterative algorithm 7.1, step 4); determining whether the solution has converged further includes summing the absolute value of the errors and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of the absolute value of the errors is less than a predetermined amount (the norm of x - XO is described as the l_x or l₂ norms (page 384) or l₁ norm, page 393, problem 2); determining whether the solution has converged further includes summing the square of the errors and concluding that the solution has converged if the square root of the sum of the square of the errors is less than a predetermined amount (the norm of x - XO is described as the l_{∞}

Art Unit: 2123

or I2 norms (page 384) or I1 norm, page 393, problem 2); and Dongarra ("Stopping Criteria" and "More Details about Stopping Criteria") teaches, the determining whether the solution has converged includes calculating errors ("Stopping Criteria", page 2 of 2, "Compute the residual $r^{(i)} = Ax^{(i)} - b$ ") and concluding that the solution has converged based on the calculation of the errors ("Stopping Criteria", page 2 of 2, "until i≥maxit or $||\mathbf{r}^{(i)}|| \leq \text{stop tol} \cdot (||\mathbf{A}|| \cdot ||\mathbf{x}^{(i)}|| + ||\mathbf{b}||'')$; determining whether the solution has converged further includes summing the absolute value of the errors and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of the absolute value of the errors is less than a predetermined amount (see previous Dongarra citations, plus "More Details about Stopping Criteria", page 1 of 4, defines the norms of $||x||_1$ and $||x||_2$; determining whether the solution has converged further includes summing the square of the errors and concluding that the solution has converged if the square root of the sum of the square of the errors is less than a predetermined amount (see previous Dongarra citations, plus "More Details about Stopping Criteria", page 1 of 4, defines the norms of $|x|_1$ and $|x|_2$; and Larsen ("Fast Matrix Multiplies Using Graphics Hardware") teaches matrix-matrix multiplies using graphics textures; and Thompson ("Using modern graphics architectures for general-purpose computing; a framework and analysis", 2002) teaches matrix multiplies using a graphics processor; none of these references either alone or in combination with the prior art of record teaches a method and system of generating a solution to a partial differential equation in a hardware graphics pipeline, specifically including:

- a. Regarding claim 1, "wherein the determining whether the solution has converged further includes <u>summing the errors</u>, and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of errors is less than a predetermined amount", in combination with the remaining features and elements of the claimed invention,
- Regarding claim 27, "wherein the determining whether the solution has converged further includes <u>summing the errors</u>, and concluding that the solution

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/099,721
Art Unit: 2123

has converged if the sum of errors is less than a predetermined amount", in combination with the remaining features and elements of the claimed invention.

8. While Press ("Numerical Recipes in Fortran 77", 2001) teaches receiving input; processing the input to generate the solution to the partial differential equation; the processing further includes determining whether the solution has converged; and Rumpf ("Using Graphics Cards for Quantized FEM Computation") teaches receiving input in the hardware graphics pipeline; processing the input to generate the solution to the partial differential equation utilizing the hardware graphics pipeline; generating output utilizing the hardware graphics pipeline for display; the solution to the partial differential equation is generated utilizing the hardware graphics pipeline for enhancing graphics processing operations performed by the hardware graphics pipeline: the graphics processing operations performed by the hardware graphics pipeline are enhanced by determining a location of surfaces or objects for rendering purposes utilizing the solution to the partial differential equation generated utilizing the hardware graphics pipeline; the input includes a local area of textures; the local area of textures is filtered utilizing a filter including a plurality of elements; the input includes a local area of textures used to sample a texture map to generate a modified local area of textures; and Burden ("Numerical Analysis") teaches, the determining whether the solution has converged includes calculating errors (page 403, Jacobi iterative algorithm 7.1, step 4, x - XO is calculating an error) and concluding that the solution has converged based on the calculation of the errors (page 403, Jacobi iterative algorithm 7.1, step 4); determining whether the solution has converged further includes summing the absolute value of the errors and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of the absolute value of the errors is less than a predetermined amount (the norm of x - XO is described as the l_{∞} or l_2 norms (page 384) or l_1 norm, page 393,

Art Unit: 2123

problem 2); determining whether the solution has converged further includes summing the square of the errors and concluding that the solution has converged if the square root of the sum of the square of the errors is less than a predetermined amount (the norm of x - XO is described as the l_{∞} or l_2 norms (page 384) or l_1 norm, page 393, problem 2); and Dongarra ("Stopping Criteria" and "More Details about Stopping Criteria") teaches, the determining whether the solution has converged includes calculating errors ("Stopping Criteria", page 2 of 2, "Compute the residual $r^{(i)} = Ax^{(i)} - b$ ") and concluding that the solution has converged based on the calculation of the errors ("Stopping Criteria", page 2 of 2, "until i \geq maxit or $||r^{(i)}|| \leq$ stop_tol \cdot ($||A|| \cdot ||x^{(i)}|| + ||b||$ "); determining whether the solution has converged further includes summing the absolute value of the errors and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of the absolute value of the errors is less than a predetermined amount (see previous Dongarra citations, plus "More Details about Stopping Criteria", page 1 of 4, defines the norms of $| |x| |_1$ and | | x | | 2); determining whether the solution has converged further includes summing the square of the errors and concluding that the solution has converged if the square root of the sum of the square of the errors is less than a predetermined amount (see previous Dongarra citations, plus "More Details about Stopping Criteria", page 1 of 4, defines the norms of | |x | |1 and | |x | |2); and Larsen ("Fast Matrix Multiplies Using Graphics Hardware") teaches matrix-matrix multiplies using graphics textures; none of these references either alone or in combination with the prior art of record teaches a method and system of generating a solution to a partial differential equation in a hardware graphics pipeline, specifically including:

a. Regarding claim 10, "wherein the determining whether the solution has converged further includes <u>summing the errors</u>, and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of errors is less than a predetermined amount", in combination with the remaining features and elements of the claimed invention, Application/Control Number: 10/099,721 Page 7

Art Unit: 2123

b. Regarding claim 11, "wherein the determining whether the solution has converged further includes <u>summing the errors</u>, and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of errors is less than a predetermined amount", in combination with the remaining features and elements of the claimed invention.

9. While Press ("Numerical Recipes in C", 1988) teaches receiving input; processing the input to generate the solution to the partial differential equation; the processing further includes determining whether the solution has converged; and Rumpf ("Using Graphics Cards for Quantized FEM Computation") teaches receiving input in the hardware graphics pipeline; processing the input to generate the solution to the partial differential equation utilizing the hardware graphics pipeline; generating output utilizing the hardware graphics pipeline for display; the solution to the partial differential equation is generated utilizing the hardware graphics pipeline for enhancing graphics processing operations performed by the hardware graphics pipeline: the graphics processing operations performed by the hardware graphics pipeline are enhanced by determining a location of surfaces or objects for rendering purposes utilizing the solution to the partial differential equation generated utilizing the hardware graphics pipeline; the input includes a local area of textures used to sample a texture map to generate a modified local area of textures; and Burden ("Numerical Analysis") teaches, the determining whether the solution has converged includes calculating errors (page 403, Jacobi iterative algorithm 7.1, step 4, x - XO is calculating an error) and concluding that the solution has converged based on the calculation of the errors (page 403, Jacobi iterative algorithm 7.1, step 4); determining whether the solution has converged further includes summing the absolute value of the errors and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of the absolute value of the errors is less than a predetermined amount (the norm of x - XO is described as

Art Unit: 2123

the l_∞ or l₂ norms (page 384) or l₁ norm, page 393, problem 2); determining whether the solution has converged further includes summing the square of the errors and concluding that the solution has converged if the square root of the sum of the square of the errors is less than a predetermined amount (the norm of x - XO is described as the lo or l2 norms (page 384) or l1 norm, page 393, problem 2); and Dongarra ("Stopping Criteria" and "More Details about Stopping Criteria") teaches, the determining whether the solution has converged includes calculating errors ("Stopping Criteria", page 2 of 2, "Compute the residual $r^{(i)} = Ax^{(i)} - b$ ") and concluding that the solution has converged based on the calculation of the errors ("Stopping Criteria", page 2 of 2, "until i≥maxit or $||r^{(i)}|| \leq \text{stop_tol} \cdot (||A|| \cdot ||x^{(i)}|| + ||b||'')$; determining whether the solution has converged further includes summing the absolute value of the errors and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of the absolute value of the errors is less than a predetermined amount (see previous Dongarra citations, plus "More Details about Stopping Criteria", page 1 of 4, defines the norms of | |x||1 and ||x||2; determining whether the solution has converged further includes summing the square of the errors and concluding that the solution has converged if the square root of the sum of the square of the errors is less than a predetermined amount (see previous Dongarra citations, plus "More Details about Stopping Criteria", page 1 of 4, defines the norms of | | x | | 1 and | | x | | 2); and Larsen ("Fast Matrix Multiplies Using Graphics Hardware") teaches matrix-matrix multiplies using graphics textures; none of these references either alone or in combination with the prior art of record teaches a method and system of generating a solution to a partial differential equation in a hardware graphics pipeline, specifically including:

a. Regarding claim 26, "wherein the determining whether the solution has converged further includes <u>summing the errors</u>, and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of errors is less than a predetermined amount", in combination with the remaining features and elements of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2123

b. Regarding claim 28, "wherein the determining whether the solution has converged further includes <u>summing the errors</u>, and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of errors is less than a predetermined amount", in combination with the remaining features and elements of the claimed invention,

- c. Regarding claim 30, "wherein the determining whether the solution has converged further includes <u>summing the errors</u>, and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of errors is less than a predetermined amount", in combination with the remaining features and elements of the claimed invention,
- d. Regarding claim 29, "determining the solution has converged by: ealeulating errors, summing the errors, and concluding that the solution has converged if the sum of errors is less than a predetermined amount", in combination with the remaining features and elements of the claimed invention.
- 10. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russ Guill whose telephone number is 571-272-7955. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 9:30 AM 6:00 PM.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-3753. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Any

Art Unit: 2123

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100 Group Receptionist: 571-272-2100.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Russ Guill Examiner Art Unit 2123

RG

/Paul L Rodriguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2123